



Individual Report for ENGL 272 - Introduction to Literary Criticism (12002) (Sheila Liming)

SELFI Evals, Spring 2017 (1730)

Project Audience 10

Responses Received 7

Response Ratio 70%

Subject Details

| | |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Catalog | ENGL 272 |
| Catalog_Number | 272 |
| Course_Level | 200 |
| Essential_Studies | |
| Dept | ENGL |
| Department | English |
| College | A&S |
| First Name | Sheila |
| Last Name | Liming |

Report Comments

Department: English

School/College: College of Arts & Sciences

Creation Date Wed, May 24, 2017



[Download PDF](#)

Sheila Liming, ENGL 272 - Introduction to Literary Criticism (12002) Term:1730

Instruction Mode: On Campus Face to Face**Academic Level of Students**

| Options | Count | Percentage |
|--------------|-------|------------|
| Freshman | 2 | 29% |
| Sophomore | 4 | 57% |
| Junior | 1 | 14% |
| Senior | 0 | 0% |
| Graduate | 0 | 0% |
| Professional | 0 | 0% |

Summary*Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree*

| Factors | Course Average | Standard Deviation |
|--|----------------|--------------------|
| Learning | 4.33 | +/-1.43 |
| Engagement: | 4.43 | +/-1.43 |
| Organization and Clarity | 4.43 | +/-1.43 |
| Learning Environment | 4.43 | +/-1.43 |
| Individual Rapport | 4.43 | +/-1.43 |
| Graded Materials(e.g., exams, tests, assignments): | 4.43 | +/-1.43 |
| Overall | 4.43 | +/-1.43 |
| Total Score | 4.41 | |

Strengths and Improvement Opportunities

| Strengths | | |
|------------------|---|------------------|
| 1 | I have gained knowledge/skills that reflect the learning outcomes of this course. | Learning 4.43 |
| 2 | Instructor promoted active student participation. | Engagement: 4.43 |
| 3 | Instructor was engaged while teaching the course | Engagement: 4.43 |

| Improvement Opportunities | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| 1 | My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course. | Learning 4.29 |
| 2 | I have found the course intellectually challenging. | Learning 4.29 |
| 3 | I have gained knowledge/skills that reflect the learning outcomes of this course. | Learning 4.43 |

Note: Only the three top/bottom rankings display. If ties exist, the system randomly chooses the question to display.

Sheila Liming, ENGL 272 - Introduction to Literary Criticism (12002) Term:1730

Question Summary by Factor

Scale: SD=Strongly Disagree, D=Disagree, N=Neutral, A=Agree, SA=Strongly Agree

Learning

| | %SD(1) | %D(2) | %N(3) | %A(4) | %SA(5) | Resp | Mean | SD |
|---|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|------|
| I have gained knowledge/skills that reflect the learning outcomes of this course. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 14 % | 71 % | 7 | 4.29 | 1.50 |
| I have found the course intellectually challenging. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 14 % | 71 % | 7 | 4.29 | 1.50 |

Engagement:

| | %SD(1) | %D(2) | %N(3) | %A(4) | %SA(5) | Resp | Mean | SD |
|--|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|------|
| Instructor promoted active student participation. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| Instructor was engaged while teaching the course | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| Instructor encouraged students to ask questions/share ideas. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |

Organization and Clarity

| | %SD(1) | %D(2) | %N(3) | %A(4) | %SA(5) | Resp | Mean | SD |
|---|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|------|
| Instructor's explanations of course content were clear. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| Course was well organized. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| Course materials were well prepared. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |

Learning Environment

| | %SD(1) | %D(2) | %N(3) | %A(4) | %SA(5) | Resp | Mean | SD |
|--|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|------|
| Instructor fostered a class environment that was conducive to my learning. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| When provided, educational technology contributed to my learning. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| Instructor used the resources of the classroom appropriately. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |

Individual Rapport

| | %SD(1) | %D(2) | %N(3) | %A(4) | %SA(5) | Resp | Mean | SD |
|--|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|------|
| Instructor treated students with respect. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| Instructor was adequately accessible to students outside of class. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| Instructor helped with my individual learning needs when asked. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |

Sheila Liming, ENGL 272 - Introduction to Literary Criticism (12002) Term:1730

Graded Materials(e.g., exams, tests, assignments):

| | %SD(1) | %D(2) | %N(3) | %A(4) | %SA(5) | Resp | Mean | SD |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Methods of evaluating student work were fair. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| Graded materials matched course content emphasized by the instructor. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| Instructor provided useful/meaningful feedback to assist learning. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |

Overall


| | %SD(1) | %D(2) | %N(3) | %A(4) | %SA(5) | Resp | Mean | SD |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Overall, the course has been a worthwhile addition to my university experience. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| Overall, the instructor was effective in promoting my learning in this course. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |
| I would recommend this course to other students. | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 86 % | 7 | 4.43 | 1.51 |

Sheila Liming, ENGL 272 - Introduction to Literary Criticism (12002) Term:1730


Score Distribution Charts by Factor

For all of the bar charts: Disagree includes Strongly Disagree & Disagree, Agree includes Agree & Strongly Agree


Learning



Engagement




Organization and Clarity




Sheila Liming, ENGL 272 - Introduction to Literary Criticism (12002) Term:1730


Learning Environment




Individual Rapport



Graded Materials



Overall



Sheila Liming, ENGL 272 - Introduction to Literary Criticism (12002) Term:1730

Comparative Scores by Factor

Learning

| Question | Course Average | | | Department Average (ENGL) | | | School (A&S) | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation |
| I have gained knowledge/skills that reflect the learning outcomes of this course. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.19 | 1283 | 0.93 | 4.27 | 11798 | 0.89 |
| My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course. | 4.29 | 7 | 1.50 | 3.61 | 1278 | 1.24 | 3.94 | 11732 | 1.15 |
| I have found the course intellectually challenging. | 4.29 | 7 | 1.50 | 4.12 | 1272 | 0.97 | 4.21 | 11676 | 0.92 |
| Overall | 4.33 | - | 1.43 | 3.97 | - | - | 4.14 | - | - |

Engagement

| Question | Course Average | | | Department Average (ENGL) | | | School (A&S) | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation |
| Instructor promoted active student participation. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.33 | 1282 | 0.82 | 4.32 | 11724 | 0.90 |
| Instructor was engaged while teaching the course | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.34 | 1278 | 0.85 | 4.35 | 11650 | 0.89 |
| Instructor encouraged students to ask questions/share ideas. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.41 | 1267 | 0.79 | 4.34 | 11626 | 0.89 |
| Overall | 4.43 | - | 1.43 | 4.36 | - | - | 4.34 | - | - |

Organization and Clarity

| Question | Course Average | | | Department Average (ENGL) | | | School (A&S) | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation |
| Instructor's explanations of course content were clear. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 3.92 | 1282 | 1.14 | 4.13 | 11785 | 1.06 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------|---|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Course was well organized. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.03 | 1278 | 1.06 | 4.15 | 11737 | 1.03 |
| Course materials were well prepared. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.11 | 1279 | 1.00 | 4.23 | 11721 | 0.97 |
| Overall | 4.43 | - | 1.43 | 4.02 | - | - | 4.17 | - | - |

Sheila Liming, ENGL 272 - Introduction to Literary Criticism (12002) Term:1730

Learning Environment

| Question | Course Average | | | Department Average (ENGL) | | | School (A&S) | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation |
| Instructor fostered a class environment that was conducive to my learning. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.10 | 1275 | 0.96 | 4.18 | 11584 | 0.98 |
| When provided, educational technology contributed to my learning. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.13 | 1223 | 0.91 | 4.27 | 11242 | 0.88 |
| Instructor used the resources of the classroom appropriately. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.22 | 1239 | 0.83 | 4.32 | 11355 | 0.82 |
| Overall | 4.43 | - | 1.43 | 4.15 | - | - | 4.26 | - | - |

Individual Rapport

| Question | Course Average | | | Department Average (ENGL) | | | School (A&S) | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation |
| Instructor treated students with respect. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.42 | 1275 | 0.81 | 4.46 | 11715 | 0.79 |
| Instructor was adequately accessible to students outside of class. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.28 | 1247 | 0.91 | 4.34 | 11209 | 0.86 |
| Instructor helped with my individual learning needs when asked. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.34 | 1241 | 0.86 | 4.37 | 11191 | 0.86 |
| Overall | 4.43 | - | 1.43 | 4.35 | - | - | 4.39 | - | - |

Graded Materials

| Question | Course Average | | | Department Average (ENGL) | | | School (A&S) | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation |
| Methods of evaluating student work were fair. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.12 | 1268 | 0.99 | 4.27 | 11695 | 0.92 |
| Graded materials matched course content emphasized by the instructor. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.17 | 1263 | 0.92 | 4.29 | 11642 | 0.90 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Instructor provided useful/meaningful feedback to assist learning. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.13 | 1268 | 1.06 | 4.22 | 11642 | 0.99 |
| Overall | 4.43 | - | 1.43 | 4.14 | - | - | 4.26 | - | - |

Sheila Liming, ENGL 272 - Introduction to Literary Criticism (12002) Term:1730

Overall

| Question | Course Average | | | Department Average (ENGL) | | | School (A&S) | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation |
| Overall, the course has been a worthwhile addition to my university experience. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 3.85 | 1273 | 1.19 | 4.07 | 11749 | 1.08 |
| Overall, the instructor was effective in promoting my learning in this course. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 4.07 | 1271 | 1.05 | 4.19 | 11694 | 1.02 |
| I would recommend this course to other students. | 4.43 | 7 | 1.51 | 3.78 | 1259 | 1.25 | 4.02 | 11668 | 1.15 |
| Overall | 4.43 | - | 1.43 | 3.90 | - | - | 4.09 | - | - |

Sheila Liming, ENGL 272 - Introduction to Literary Criticism (12002) Term:1730

Open Ended Questions

Describe some aspects of this course that promoted your learning.

Comment

The professor always showed up for class motivated to teach. She made almost every aspect of the curriculum somewhat interesting.

It promoted reading and thinking about texts in different forms of criticism.

The wiki key words really help to delve into the movements which helped me understand it better.

Open Discussion created a safe space to ask questions.

Mainly, Sheila Liming. She was very engaging.

Everything.

The group discussions of the material were super helpful and she offers a lot of one on one help for the papers.

What specific, practical changes can you recommend that might improve the learning in this course?

Comment

Don't have any.

none

More writing would've been helpful because it would've made the critics easier to comprehend.

I have no idea.

Nothing, it's perfect.

If a student asked whether you would recommend this course from this instructor, what would you recommend and why?

Comment

I would highly recommend this course. Very helpful professor.

I would highly recommend this class. It was very informative and I really enjoyed the work and discussion

Yes! Sheila Liming is a really good teacher and really helps you to understand the material.

I would the teacher was great and we went through the different parts at a good pace.

Since it's required for English majors, I wouldn't recommend it per say but I would recommend Liming for a professor.

I would recommend this course to anybody having Dr. Sheila Liming teach it. Absolutely anybody could learn anything from her. Truly an amazing instructor.

Yes, Liming was a great professor and I enjoyed everything she taught. She made the class fun and interesting.